

Mar 5. (Lin)

Thm 1: Any object  $M \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{conf-mod}}^{G(0)}$  is supported on  $\mathcal{O}_p \check{g}^{\text{unr}}$

•  $V^\lambda$  is supported on  $\mathcal{O}_p \check{g}^{\text{reg}, \lambda}$ .

Proof:

recall:  $\text{End}_{\check{g}\text{-conf}}(M^\lambda) = \mathcal{J}^{\text{nilp}, \lambda}$   
(when  $\lambda + \rho \in \Lambda^+$ ).

First, if  $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ ,

$$\mathcal{O}_p^{\text{nilp}, \lambda} := \left\{ \nabla_0 + \sum_L t^{\langle \alpha_L, \lambda \rangle} \left( \phi_L(t) dt + \frac{\eta(t) dt}{t} \right) \right\}$$

$$\bigcap_{\mathcal{O}_p^{\text{RS}}} \mathcal{O}_p \check{g}$$

$\phi_L \in \mathbb{R}[[t]]^k$ ,  $\eta(0)$  nilpotent

$$\mathcal{O}_p^{\text{("order 1 pole")}} \check{g}$$

$$\eta(t) \in \mathfrak{b} \otimes \mathbb{R}[[t]],$$

up to gauge transformation

when  $\lambda + \rho$  dominant, i.e. for subset  $J \subset I$ ,

$$j \in J \Rightarrow \langle \alpha_j, \lambda \rangle = -1.$$

otherwise  $\langle \alpha_j, \lambda \rangle \geq 0$ .

$J \rightsquigarrow$  parabolic  $P_J$ , Levi  $M_J$ . ( $n_J \rightarrow P_J \rightarrow m_J$ )

$$\mathcal{O}_{P_J}^{\text{nilp}, \lambda}(R) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(Same formula as above),} \\ \left| \underbrace{\sum \phi_j(t) f_j + q(t)}_{\text{is nilpotent in } m_J} \text{ mod } n_J \right. \end{array} \right\}$$

this is the residue.

$$\mathcal{O}_{P_J}^{RS}(R) = \left\{ \nabla_0 + \sum t^{-1} \phi_i(t) dt + q(t) dt \right\}.$$

$q(t)$  regular.

(First)  
residue  
map

$$\text{Res}^{RS}: \mathcal{O}_{P_J}^{RS} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} / \text{Ad}(G) = \mathfrak{h} // W.$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{P_J}^{\text{nilp}, \lambda} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_P^{RS}$$

$$\{ \omega(-\lambda - \rho) \} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{h} // W$$

note: we also have second residue map: (takes  $g(0)$ )

i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_p^{RS} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_p^{nilp, \lambda} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & u/B \end{array}$$

Now claim above amounts to

$$\text{Supp}(M^\lambda) = \mathcal{O}_{p\check{g}}^{nilp, \lambda}$$

note if  $w \cdot \lambda = \lambda'$ , then  $\text{End}(M^\lambda) = \text{End}(M^{\lambda'})$ .

Since  $\text{Iwahori}$  cells are generated by those,

$$\uparrow_{\text{int} \text{-mod } I}$$

get

supported on

$$\bigsqcup_{\lambda + p \in \lambda'} \mathcal{O}_{p\check{g}}^{nilp, \lambda}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{p\check{g}}^{nilp, \text{int}} := \uparrow$$

$\exists$  Tautological bundle  $F_G^v$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{P_{\check{G}}}^{\text{nilp.int}} \times D_x^0$   
 (actually an Local system).

which can be extended to  $\check{G}$ -bundle

$F_G^{\text{ex}}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{P_{\check{G}}}^{\text{nilp.int}} \times D$ .

s.t. the connection has a pole of order  $\leq 1$ , nilpotent monodromy.

$\longrightarrow$  to rep language:  $P_G^v$ : central fibres of  $F_G^{\text{ex}}$ .  
 For  $V \in \text{Rep}(\check{G})$ .

- $V_{P_G^v}$  is a vector bundle on  $\mathcal{O}_P^{\text{nilp.int}}$ .

- $N \in \text{End}(V_{P_G^v})$  nilpotent.

s.t. they're compatible w/  $\otimes$ .

lemma: The above data can be extended canonically to

$\overline{\mathcal{O}_{P_{\check{G}}}^{\text{nilp.int}}}$ .

- $\mathcal{O}_P^{\text{unr}}$  is the locus where  $N$  vanishes.

For part 1 of thm 1, it remains to prove that for  $V \in \text{Rep}(G)$ ,  $\text{ad } M \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}\text{-mod}^{G(0)}$

extend vector bundle  $\rightarrow \underbrace{\overline{V}_{\mathfrak{g}}}_{\mathfrak{z}^{\text{nilp.int}}} \otimes M$  has trivial  $\overline{N}_V$  action

in fact, it's  $\text{Sat}_V \star M$ .

Conas for

Lemma :  $M \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{ant}}\text{-mod}^I$ .

$$\overline{V} \otimes_{\mathfrak{z}^{\text{nilp.int}}} M = \underbrace{Z}_I \star M$$

$$Z_I \in D(\text{Fl}_G)_{\text{ant}}\text{-mod}^I$$

$$P_!(Z_V) = \text{Sat}_V$$

$$P: \text{Fl}_G \rightarrow \text{Gr}_G$$

$$N_{Z_V} \in \text{Evd}(Z_V)$$

$$\text{ad } P_!(N_{Z_V}) = 0$$

continuity of some nearby cycle

This concludes part 1.

For affine Weyl, use

$$\mathcal{O}_p^{\text{ur}} \cap \mathcal{O}_p^{\text{hlp}, \lambda} = \mathcal{O}_p^{\text{reg}, \lambda}$$

Recall Poincaré-Sokolov reduction:  $\mathfrak{z} \triangleq \text{Center}(\mathfrak{g}^{\text{cut}})$

$$D^+(\mathfrak{g}^{\text{cut-mod}}) \longrightarrow D(\text{Vect}) \text{ in fact } D(\mathfrak{z}\text{-mod})$$

$$U \longmapsto C^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^1, \text{ucct}), U \otimes \chi$$

in particular,

$$\Phi: D^+(\mathfrak{g}^{\text{cut-mod}}) \xrightarrow{\text{LFG}} D(\mathfrak{z}^{\text{ur-mod}})$$

Thm 2:  $\Phi$  is exact.

(Proof omitted).

Thm 3:

$$1) \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\lambda, \text{reg}} \longrightarrow \text{End}(V^{\lambda}) \text{ is an iso.}$$

$$2) \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}\text{-act}}(V^{\lambda}) \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\text{Lie}(\mathbb{I}^n)}(V^{\lambda}) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \text{End}_{\lambda}(V^{\lambda})$$

$f \mapsto f(\mathbb{I})$

(well defined by thm 1)

$$\hookrightarrow (\mathbb{V}^\wedge)^{\text{Lie}(\mathbb{I}u)} \xrightarrow{\text{(by def of } \mathbb{F})} \mathbb{F}(\mathbb{V}^\wedge)$$

is an isomorphism.

3)  $\mathbb{V}^\wedge$  is a proj  $\mathfrak{g}^{\text{reg}, \lambda}$ -mod.

Cor: image of Weyl under  $\mathbb{F}$  is  $\mathfrak{g}^{\wedge, \text{reg}}$

since Weyl generate whole cat,

it remains to check Hom-compatibility.

Pf:

Step 1:  $\mathfrak{g}^{\text{reg}, \lambda} \xrightarrow{\text{cl}(1+\lambda)} \mathbb{F}(\mathbb{V}^\wedge)$  is injective.

Recall  $\text{Op}_G^\vee(\mathbb{D}^x)$  has a Poisson structure.

$\Rightarrow \Omega^1(\text{Op}_G^\vee(\mathbb{D}^x))$  is a Lie algebra.

on  $\text{Op}_G^\vee(\mathbb{D}^x)$ .

Explicit description of coadjoint groupoid:

Isomondromy epers:  $\text{Isom}_{\text{Op}_G}(\mathbb{R}) =$

$\{ (x, x', \rho) \mid x, x' \in \mathcal{O}_p(\mathbb{D}^x), \rho: \text{iso between them as local systems} \}$

$\text{Isom} \begin{matrix} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_p(\mathbb{D}^x) \\ \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_p(\mathbb{D}^x). \end{matrix}$

Lemma:

$\mathcal{O}_{p, \check{g}}^{x, \text{reg}} \subset \mathcal{O}_p(\mathbb{D}^x)$  is co-isotropic.

i.e.  $\{I, I\} \subset I$  for  $I$  the ideal.

$N_{\mathcal{O}_p}^* \text{reg}, \lambda$  is an Lie algebra on  $\mathcal{O}_p \text{reg}, \lambda$ .

General Poisson theory

$\leadsto \mathfrak{Z}_G^{\text{reg}, \lambda}$  is an irreducible.

$N_{\mathcal{O}_p}^* \text{reg}, \lambda$  - module.

Recall  $\mathbb{V}_{\text{cot}}^{\lambda} \in \text{QCoh}(\mathcal{O}_p \text{reg}, \lambda)$

and is also  $N^*$  - mod by def.

Since  $\mathbb{F}$  is functional,  $N^*$  also acts  
on  $\mathbb{F}(\sqrt[n]{x})$ .

(Claim: (1) + (2) is an  $N^*$ -mod-module -  
suffices to prove it's nonzero since  
LHS is irred.

But  $1 \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{1}$  so nonzero.

Step 2: (Dimension Counting).

Recall  $\text{Aut}(D) \curvearrowright$  everything.

$L_0 = -t \partial t \in \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(D)) \curvearrowright$  everything.

it gives a grading on everything.

and check that each graded piece

has the same dimension.

Thus (1) + (2) is iso.

Step 3:

recall  $\mathbb{Z}^{\text{reg}} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{V}^{\wedge}) \xrightarrow{\cong \varphi} (\mathbb{V}^{\wedge})_{\mathbb{Z}} \xrightarrow{h} \mathbb{F}(\mathbb{V}^{\wedge})$   
 (1) (2) it remains to check  $h$  is injective.

suppose  $E \in \text{End}(\mathbb{V}^{\wedge})$  is s.t.

$$\varphi(E) = 0.$$

$$\varphi(E) = h(E(\mathbb{1})) = \mathbb{F}(E)(h(\mathbb{1})).$$

$$h(\mathbb{1}) \neq 0 \text{ since whole map is iso} \\ \Rightarrow \mathbb{F}(E) = 0.$$

since  $\mathbb{F}$  is exact.

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \mathbb{V}^{\wedge} \xrightarrow{E} \mathbb{V}^{\wedge}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{F}(K) = \mathbb{F}(\mathbb{V}^{\wedge})$$

note  $E \neq 0$ ,  $K$  does not contain  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{1}$ .

then under the grading given by  $L_0$ ,  $K$  belongs to  $\neq 0$  grading part, so  $\mathbb{F}(K)$  is as well.

$\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$

Part (3) uses Wakimoto, stopped for now.

Now fully faithfulness:

$$\text{Thm 4: } \mathbb{R} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\text{ant}}}^{L+G} (\mathbb{V}^\lambda, \mathbb{V}^\lambda)$$

$$= \mathbb{R} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\text{unr}}} (\mathfrak{J}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{reg}, \lambda} - \mathfrak{J}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{reg}, \lambda}).$$

(I'll try to cover this next week).

( $\mathbb{V}^\circ$  given in Frankel-Tenenam).

(essential surjectivity is rather easy after this)