

6 Dec. 2017

Centralizers and \mathbb{F}_n -modules

$f: A \rightarrow B$ morphism of \mathbb{F}_n -algebras

$\rightsquigarrow \mathbb{F}_n$ -algebra $Z_{\mathbb{F}_n}(f)$ universal w/r/t

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & B \\
 \downarrow \text{id}_A & & \uparrow \\
 Z_{\mathbb{F}_n}(f) \otimes A & &
 \end{array}$$

- \mathbb{F}_n -algebra morphism

$Z_{\mathbb{F}_n}(A) := Z_{\mathbb{F}_n}(\text{id}_A)$ has the structure of an \mathbb{F}_n -algebra

E.g. $n=1$: $\mathbb{F}_1\text{-alg} = \text{Assoc Alg}$, and

$$Z_{\mathbb{F}_1}(f) = \text{Hom}_{A \otimes A^{\text{op}}}(A, B).$$

This formula generalizes. Put

$$A\text{-mod}_{\mathbb{F}_1} := (A \otimes A^{\text{op}})\text{-mod}_{\mathbb{F}_1}$$

i.e. bimodules. Recall that $A\text{-mod}_{\mathbb{F}_1}$ is an \mathbb{F}_1 -category, and put

$$A\text{-mod}_{\mathbb{F}_n} := Z_{\mathbb{F}_n}(A\text{-mod}_{\mathbb{F}_1}),$$

the category of \mathbb{F}_n -modules for A .

Proposition There is a canonical \mathbb{F}_n -equivalence

$$Z_{\mathbb{F}_n}(A)\text{-mod}_{\mathbb{F}_n} \xrightarrow{\sim} Z_{\mathbb{F}_n}(A\text{-mod}_{\mathbb{F}_1}) = A\text{-mod}_{\mathbb{F}_n}.$$

Returning to $f: A \rightarrow B$, we have:

Proposition There is a canonical isomorphism

$$Z_{\mathbb{F}_n}(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{A\text{-mod}_{\mathbb{F}_n}}(A, B)$$

in $A\text{-mod}_{\mathbb{F}_n}$.

This might be hard to compute in general, but if $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a morphism of commutative algebras, then

$$\text{Hom}_{A\text{-mod}_{\mathbb{F}_n}}(A, B) \cong \text{Hom}_{A\text{-mod}_{S^n \otimes A}}(S^n \otimes A, B).$$

Here $S^n \otimes A$ denotes the colimit in $\mathbb{F}_n\text{-alg}$ of the constant diagram $S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_n\text{-alg}$ with value A . It receives a map $A \rightarrow S^n \otimes A$ in $\mathbb{F}_n\text{-alg}$, assuming we have chosen a basepoint $pt \rightarrow S^n$, hence has a left A -module structure.

Casselman-Shalika formula

We recall the naive geometric Satake functor

$$\text{Sat}_G^{\text{naive}}: \mathcal{D}(\text{coh}(LS_x^*(D))) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G(\mathbb{O}) \backslash G(K) / G(\mathbb{O}))$$

!!
 $\cong \text{SpH}_G$

\dagger -exact

It is a monoidal factorization functor, or over a point an \mathbb{F}_3 -monoidal functor. The LHS is actually \mathbb{F}_n : it is the factorization category attached to the symm. mon. cat. $\text{Rep}(G)$.

The functor $\text{Sat}_G^{\text{naive}}$ is not an equivalence, even though it induces an equivalence on the hearts. However, we have:

Theorem (Frenkel, Gaitsgory, Vilonen) The composition of factorization functors

$$\mathcal{Q}(\text{Coh}(LS)_G(\mathbb{D})) \xrightarrow{\text{Sat}_G^{\text{naive}}} \text{Sph}_G \xrightarrow{\text{act on vacuum}} \text{Wh}(\text{Gr}_G)$$

is an equivalence.

Let's explain the functor on the RHS. We have fixed $\nu: N \rightarrow G_a$, which yields

$$\psi: N^{\text{an}}(K) \rightarrow G_a^{\text{an}}(K) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} G_a \quad \text{ind-}$$

We put $\mathcal{X} := \psi^{-1} \exp$ and Ω_K as add. grp scheme

$$\text{Wh}(\text{Gr}_G) := D(\text{Gr}_G)^{(N^{\text{an}}(K), \mathcal{X})}$$

This requires a little work to define carefully, because $N^{\text{an}}(K)$ is highly infinite-dim. Use the fact that it is the union of its group subschemes.

We drop the ν 's for simplicity of notation. Recall that the $N(K)$ -orbits $S^\lambda \subset \text{Gr}_G$ are parameterized by $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$.

\Rightarrow Lemma An object of $\text{Wh}(\text{Gr}_G)$ is supported on the S^{λ^*} for $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$.

The lemma follows from the following

observation: that X is nontrivial when restricted to the stabilizer in $N(K)$ of a point in S^{-n} , unless $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$.

Note that there is a map

$$\text{can}: S^{-n} \xrightarrow{\sim} N(K)/N(\Theta) \xrightarrow{\psi} \text{Ga}_n$$

and put $W^0 := \text{can}^* \text{exp}$. This is the vacuum object of $\text{Wh}(\text{Gr}_n)$.

The theorem can be checked over a point because both $\mathcal{O}(\text{coh}(S_n^*(D)))$ and $\text{Wh}(\text{Gr}_n)$ are generated by ULA objects.

It will follow from:

Proposition We have

i) for any $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ the object

$$W^\lambda = \text{Sal}_G^{\text{naive}}(V^\lambda) * W^0$$

has 1-dimensional $!$ -fibers over S^{-n} and zero $!$ - and $*$ -fibers of f S^{-n} .

ii) the category $\text{Wh}(\text{Gr}_n)$ is semisimple (in particular, equivalent to the derived category of its heart), and the irreducible objects of $\text{Wh}(\text{Gr}_n)$ are precisely the W^λ for $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$.

Proof (i): Recall that $\text{Sal}_G^{\text{naive}}(V^\lambda) = \text{IC}_{\text{Gr}_n}^\lambda$. This implies that W^λ is supported on the closure of S^{-n} . See FGV...

mostly (i) \Rightarrow (ii).

Derived Satake equivalence

\mathcal{C} = factorization category

$\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{C}\text{-mod}^{\text{fact}}$ 2-cat. of fact. module cat.'s

Claim There is an equivalence of 2-cat's.

$$\mathcal{C}\text{-mod}_x^{\text{fact}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}_x\text{-mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$$

This implies that

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}\text{-mod}_x^{\text{fact}}}(\mathcal{C}_x) \xrightarrow{\sim} Z_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathcal{C}_x)$$

Now let $Y_{X_{\text{GR}}} \rightarrow X_{\text{GR}}$ be a crystal of (formally) smooth Artin stacks.

\rightsquigarrow factorization prestacks

$$Y(\mathbb{D}) \hookrightarrow Y(\mathbb{D})$$

horizontal jets

meromorphic
horizontal jets

Quasi-theorem (Lurie) There is an equivalence of monoidal factorization categories

$$\mathcal{Q}(\text{coh}(Y(\mathbb{D})_{Y(\mathbb{D})}, Y(\mathbb{D}))) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{\mathcal{Q}(\text{coh}(Y(\mathbb{D}))\text{-mod}^{\text{fact}})}(\mathcal{Q}(\text{coh}(Y(\mathbb{D}))))$$

monoidal under convolution

In the case $Y = \text{pt}/G \times X_{\mathbb{R}}$, we write

$$LS_G(D) := Y(D), \quad LS_G(\bar{D}) := Y(\bar{D}).$$

Here the quasi-theorem is a theorem of Sam Raskin.

Now let's apply Casselman-Shalika. The action $\text{Sph}_G \times \text{Wh}(G_{\mathbb{R}})$ is compatible with factorization. In particular it defines a functor

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sph}_G &\longrightarrow \text{End}_{\text{Wh}(G_{\mathbb{R}})\text{-mod}^{\text{fact}}}(\text{Wh}(G_{\mathbb{R}})) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{\text{Coh}(LS_G(D))\text{-mod}^{\text{fact}}}(LS_G(D)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{Sam}} \text{QCoh}(LS_G(D) \times_{LS_G(B)} LS_G(D)). \quad (*) \end{aligned}$$

This functor is not yet an equiv. We must renormalize. Let $\text{Sph}_G^{\text{loc.c.}}$ be the full subcategory of objects whose image under $\text{oblv}_{G_{\mathbb{R}}}: \text{Sph}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G_{\mathbb{R}})$ is compact. Let

$$\text{Sph}_G^{\text{ren}} := \text{Ind}(\text{Sph}_G^{\text{loc.c.}}) \rightleftarrows \text{Sph}_G$$

Theorem (Derived Satake) The functor $(*)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Sph}_G^{\text{ren}} &\xrightarrow{\text{Satake}} & \text{Ind Coh}(LS_G(D) \times_{LS_G(B)} LS_G(D)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi \\ \text{Sph}_G &\xrightarrow{(*)} & \text{QCoh}(LS_G(D) \times_{LS_G(B)} LS_G(D)) \end{array}$$

Φ - exists because spectral theory stacks is ev. cocart.

Recall that Φ is the right adjoint

of the fully faithful functor Γ , there are many full subcats between $\mathcal{D}(\text{Coh})$ and IndCoh , defined by singular support conditions.

In fact the image of

$$\text{Sph}_G \hookrightarrow \text{Sph}_G^{\text{non}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{IndCoh}(S_0^*(D) | S_0^*(D) | S_0^*(D))$$

is defined by the condition of sing. support in the nilpotent cone

$$\check{N}/\check{G} \hookrightarrow \check{Y}/\check{G},$$

see Arinkin-Gaitsgory for details.

One can reduce the theorem to calculation over a point using ULA generation properties.

Proposition Suppose $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a continuous functor between DG categories, and that $\{c_i\}$ is a set of compact generators for \mathcal{C} . If

i) $\{F(c_i)\}$ is a set of compact generators for \mathcal{D} , and

ii) we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c_i, c_j) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(c_i), F(c_j))$$

for all i, j .

then F is an equivalence of categories.

We will apply this with $\mathcal{C} = \text{Sph}_G^{\text{non}}$, which has the set of compact generators

$$\{ \text{Sal}_G^{\text{naive}}(V^\lambda) = \text{Sal}_G^{\text{naive}}(V^\lambda) * \mathcal{D}_1 \}_{\lambda \in \Lambda^+}$$

Here \mathcal{D}_1 is the direct image under

$$\text{pt}/G(\mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{1} G(\mathcal{O}) \backslash G(K) / G(\mathcal{O})$$

of $\text{pt}/G(\mathcal{O})$. Note that \mathcal{D}_1 belongs to $\text{Sph}_G^{\text{loc,ct}}$ but is not compact in Sph_G . By Casselman-Shalika and the construction of $(*)$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Sal}_G^{\text{naive}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Sph}_G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow (*) \\ \mathcal{O}(\text{Coh}(LS_G^*(D))) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_*} & \mathcal{O}(\text{Coh}(LS_G^*(D))_{LS_G^*(\mathcal{O})} LS_G^*(D)) \end{array}$$

This implies that $(*)$ applied to $\text{Sal}_G^{\text{naive}}(V^\lambda)$ is $\Delta_*(V^\lambda)$, and these indeed form a set of compact generators for $\text{Ind}(\text{Coh}(LS_G^*(D))_{LS_G^*(\mathcal{O})} LS_G^*(D))$ after applying Γ .

In particular we can define Sal_G as the ind-extension of

$$\text{Sph}_G^{\text{loc,ct}} \xrightarrow{(*)} \text{Coh}(LS_G^*(D))_{LS_G^*(\mathcal{O})} LS_G^*(D).$$

It remains to check (ii) in the prop. For this we use:

Proposition There is a canonical \mathbb{K} -equivalence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ind}(\text{Coh}(LS_G^*(D))_{LS_G^*(\mathcal{O})} LS_G^*(D)) & \xrightarrow{\text{KD}} & \text{Sum}(\text{aj}[2])\text{-mod } \check{G} \\ \Delta_* \downarrow \quad \downarrow \Delta^! & & \text{ind} \downarrow \text{obv} \\ \text{Ind}(\text{Coh}(LS_G^*(D))) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Rep}(\check{G}) \end{array}$$

A direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{End}_{\text{Sph}_G}(d_1) &\xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{de}}^*(p/G(\mathcal{O})) \\
 &\xrightarrow{\text{chern-weil}} H_{\text{de}}^*(p/G) \\
 &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sym}(E^*[-2])^W \\
 &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sym}(E[-2])^W \\
 &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sym}(\check{\gamma}[-2])^G
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one checks that there is a canonical $\text{Sym}(\check{\gamma}[-2])^G$ -linear isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Sph}_G}(d_1, \text{Sal}_G^{\text{naive}}(V)) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\text{Sym}(\check{\gamma}[-2]) \otimes V)^G.$$

Finally, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Hom}_{\text{Sph}_G}(\text{Sal}_G^{\text{naive}}(V^\lambda), \text{Sal}_G^{\text{naive}}(V^\mu)) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\text{Sph}_G}(d_1, \text{Sal}_G(V^\lambda \otimes V^\mu)) \\
 &\xrightarrow{\sim} (\text{Sym}(\check{\gamma}[-2]) \otimes V^\lambda \otimes V^\mu)^G \\
 &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\text{Sym}(\check{\gamma}[-2])\text{-mod}^G}(\text{Sym}(\check{\gamma}[-2]) \otimes V^\lambda, \text{Sym}(\check{\gamma}[-2]) \otimes V^\mu) \\
 &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\text{IndCoh}(\text{Is}_G(\mathcal{O}_{1G} \otimes \mathcal{O}_1) \otimes \text{Is}_G(\mathcal{O}))}(\Delta_*(V^\lambda), \Delta_*(V^\mu)),
 \end{aligned}$$

as desired.